# metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Iliana Medina, Joel T. Mague\* and Mark J. Fink\*

Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA

Correspondence e-mail: joelt@tulane.edu, fink@tulane.edu

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å Some non-H atoms missing Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.027 wR factor = 0.057 Data-to-parameter ratio = 28.4

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Tetra- $\mu_3$ -iodo-tetrakis[(tri-*tert*-butylphosphine)-copper(I)]

The title compound,  $[Cu_4I_4(C_{12}H_{27}P)_4]$ , recovered from an attempt to prepare triisopropylsilylthiolato(tri-*tert*-butyl-phosphine)copper(I), is found to be tetrameric with a distorted heterocubane structure, one of the body diagonals of the cube being a crystallographic threefold rotation axis.

Received 28 June 2005 Accepted 7 July 2005 Online 13 July 2005

### Comment

Phosphine and arsine complexes of copper(I) halides  $[(MXL_n)_m]$  (X = halogen and L = phosphine and arsine) display a rich coordination chemistry with a wide diversity of stoichiometries and geometries. R<sub>3</sub>PCuX complexes are particularly useful as catalysts, as precursors to organocopper reagents (Taylor, 1994) and as starting materials for the preparation of complex heterometallic complexes (Albano et al., 1995; Kudinov et al., 1993). Monodentate phosphine and arsine complexes of copper(I) and silver(I) chorides, bromides and iodides typically exist as tetrameric structures based on either cubane (A) or open 'step' (B) frameworks. Halides with these core structures often coexist in solution along with other oligomeric species. Steric repulsions appear to be a key factor governing the relative stabilities of the two core structures, with bulky phosphines and halogens favoring the 'open' structures B (Teo & Calabrese, 1976a, 1976b). Tri-t-butylphosphine copper(I) chlorides, bromides and iodides have been previously reported, but only the crystal structure of the bromide has been determined (Goel & Beauchamp, 1983). This was found to have a distorted heterocubane structure. The chloride and iodide complexes were also proposed to have heterocubane structures on the basis of their far-IR and Raman spectra. The X-ray structure of the title compound, <sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>PCuI, (I), confirms this spectroscopic prediction of a heterocubane structure.



© 2005 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



Fig. 1 gives a perspective view of (I), showing the distorted cubane  $Cu_4I_4$  framework. The molecule has crystallographically imposed threefold symmetry with the threefold axis passing through I1 and the Cu2-P2 bond. Important bond lengths and angles are in Table 1, and a comparison of metrical parameters with related structures is given in Table 2. The cubane structure is significantly distorted, the mean Cu-I-Cu and I-Cu-I bond angles being 97.99(1) and  $81.40 (1)^{\circ}$ , respectively. The distortion is probably the result of the extreme bulk of the tri-tert-butylphosphine ligand.

# **Experimental**

<sup>1</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>PCuI was synthesized by the published procedure (Goel & Beauchamp, 1983). X-ray quality crystals of <sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>PCuI were recovered from the attempted reaction of 'Bu<sub>3</sub>PCuI with (THF)<sub>2</sub>LiSSi('Pr)<sub>3</sub>, in which a suspension of 'Bu<sub>3</sub>PCuI in benzene was reacted with an equimolar quantity of (THF)<sub>2</sub>LiSSi(<sup>i</sup>Pr)<sub>3</sub> at low temperature. The solution turned colorless within minutes, and a white precipitate formed after 1 h. Filtration and recrystallization from benzene/ pentane (1:1) afforded colorless crystals which proved to be <sup>t</sup>Bu<sub>3</sub>PCuI.

#### Crystal data

 $wR(F^2) = 0.057$ 

5477 reflections

193 parameters

S = 1.11

$[Cu_4I_4(C_{12}H_{27}P)_4]$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
$M_r = 1571.03$	Cell parameters from 9205
Trigonal, $R\overline{3}$	reflections
a = 13.878 (1)  Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 28.2^{\circ}$
c = 60.239 (7) Å	$\mu = 3.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
V = 10047.7 (16) Å <sup>3</sup>	T = 100 (2) K
Z = 6	Block, colorless
$D_x = 1.558 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$	$0.13$ $\times$ 0.09 $\times$ 0.09 mm
Data collection	
Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-	5477 independent reflections
detector diffractometer	4891 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.028$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)	$h = -18 \rightarrow 18$
$T_{\min} = 0.624, \ T_{\max} = 0.750$	$k = -18 \rightarrow 17$
30297 measured reflections	$l = -79 \rightarrow 78$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0186P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$	+ 41.1166P]





#### Figure 1

A perspective view of (I). Displacement ellipsoids have been drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms have been omitted for clarity. [Symmetry codes: (') 1 - x + y, 1 - x, z; ('') 1 - y, x - y, z.]

# Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

I1 Cu1	27200(4)	Cu1 B1	2 2522 (7)
II-Cui	2.7500 (4)	Cui-Fi	2.2322 (7)
I2-Cu1	2.7204 (4)	Cu1-I2 <sup>1</sup>	2.7405 (4)
I2-Cu2	2.7273 (3)	Cu2-P2	2.251 (1)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> -I1-Cu1	81.62 (1)	I2-Cu1-I1	98.03 (1)
Cu1-I2-Cu2	81.36(1)	I2-Cu1-I2 <sup>i</sup>	98.13 (1)
Cu1-I2-Cu1 <sup>ii</sup>	81.61 (1)	$I1-Cu1-I2^{i}$	97.54 (1)
Cu2-I2-Cu1 <sup>ii</sup>	81.00 (1)	I2-Cu2-I2 <sup>ii</sup>	98.28 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + y + 1, -x + 1, z; (ii) -y + 1, x - y, z.

## Table 2

Comparison of metrical parameters (Å,  $^{\circ}$ ) for  $R_3PMX$  cubanes.

Compound	M - X	M-P	X - M - X	<i>X</i> — <i>M</i> —Р	M - X - M
$[Et_3PCuI]_4^a$	2.684(1)	2.254 (3)	109.38 (4)	109.56 (8)	66.10 (4) 85 16 (2)
$[^{t}Bu_{3}PCuBr]_{4}^{c}$	2.5930 (6)	2.192 (2) 2.228 (3)	94.09 (2) 95.29 (2)	122.02 (3)	83.10 (2) 84.45 (2)
['Bu <sub>3</sub> PCuI] <sub>4</sub> " [Et <sub>3</sub> PAgI] <sub>4</sub> "	2.7297 (2) 2.9189 (6)	2.2519 (7) 2.438 (2)	98.090 (4) 109.01 (1)	119.30 (1) 109.93 (2)	81.472 (4) 66.68 (1)
[Ph <sub>3</sub> PAgCl] <sub>4</sub> <sup>f</sup>	2.653 (1)	2.382 (2)	92.72 (3)	122.35 (4)	86.48 (3)

References: (a) Churchill & Kalra (1974b); (b) Churchill & Kalra (1974a); (c) Goel & Beauchamp (1983); (d) this work; (e) Teo & Calabrese (1976a); (f) Churchill & DeBoer (1975)

H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.98 Å) and included as riding atoms, with  $U_{iso}(H)$  values of  $1.5U_{eq}$  of the attached C atoms. A region of electron density attributable to an unidentified solvent molecule severely disordered about the threefold axis was removed with the SQUEEZE option of the PLATON package (Spek, 2003).

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2000); cell refinement: SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: SAINT-Plus; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 2000); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

H-atom parameters constrained

# metal-organic papers

We thank the Chemistry Department of Tulane University for support of the X-ray laboratory, and the Louisiana Board of Regents through the Louisiana Educational Quality Support Fund [grant No. LEQSF (2003–2003)-ENH-TR-67] for the purchase of the diffractometer. MJF thanks NASA (grant No. NCC3-946) through the Tulane Institute for Macromolecular Engineering and Science, NASA (grant No. NCC3-97) through the Ohio Aerospace Institute, and the Louisiana Board of Regents through the Louisiana Educational Quality Support Fund (grant No. LaSPACE C164092).

## References

Albano, V. G., Busetto, L., Sabatino, M. C., Schmitz, P. & Zanotti, V. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 2087–2093.

- Bruker (2000). *SMART* (Version 5.625) and *SHELXTL* (Version 6.10). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2002). SAINT-Plus. Version 6.35A. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Churchill, M. R. & DeBoer, B. G. (1975). Inorg. Chem. 14, 2502-2507.
- Churchill, M. R. & Kalra, K. L. (1974a). Inorg. Chem. 13, 1065–1071.
- Churchill, M. R. & Kalra, K. L. (1974b). Inorg. Chem. 13, 1899-1904.
- Goel, R. G. & Beauchamp, A. L. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 395-400.
- Kudinov, A., Muratov, D., Rybinskaya, M. & Turpeinen, U. (1993). Mendeleev Commun. 2, 39–40.
- Taylor, R. J. K. (1994). Organocopper Reagents. A Practical Approach. Oxford University Press.
- Teo, B.-K. & Calabrese, J. C. (1976a). Inorg. Chem. 15, 2467-2474.
- Teo, B.-K. & Calabrese, J. C. (1976b). Inorg. Chem. 15, 2474-2486.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G, M. (2002). SADABS. Version 2.05. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst.. 36, 7-13.